International Journal of Integrative Research (IJIR)
Vol.3, No.12, 2025: 891-898

# The Impact of Electronic Resources on Academic Research Productivity in Nigerian Universities: A Systematic Review of Empirical Evidence

Oberhiri- Orumah Godwin[1*], Funom Blessing Chika[2]
[1]Federal Polytechnic Ekowe, Bayelsa State, Nigeria
[2]University of Abuja
**Corresponding Author:** Oberhiri- Orumah Godwin; orumahwin70@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: *Electronic Resources, Research Productivity, Academic Staff, Nigerian Universities, Systematic Review, Digital Divide, Scholarly Communication*

*Received : 5 October*
*Revised : 23 November*
*Accepted: 23 December*



A B S T R A C T

The proliferation of electronic information resources (EIRs) — encompassing e-journals, databases, e-books, and institutional repositories — has ostensibly transformed the scholarly landscape in higher education. This systematic review synthesizes existing empirical evidence to critically examine the relationship between EIR access, utilization, and research productivity within Nigerian universities. This review analyzes peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2025, retrieved from databases including Google Scholar, ERIC, and Semantic Scholar. The synthesis indicates that accessibility and perceived usefulness of EIRs significantly influence their adoption among Nigerian academics, which in turn can correlate with higher reported research output, such as publications and grant acquisitions. However, the evidence is frequently tempered by significant moderating variables. Persistent infrastructural deficits — unreliable power supply, limited bandwidth, and inadequate ICT facilities — severely constrain optimal utilization. Critically, several studies point to a paradox where high awareness and satisfaction with EIRs do not automatically translate into commensurate gains in productivity, suggesting that mere access is insufficient. This review argues for a shift in scholarly and policy discourse from a deterministic "access-leads-to-output" model to a more holistic framework that integrates critical digital pedagogy, sustainable infrastructure investment, and the development of context-sensitive institutional repositories. By situating Nigerian empirical findings within broader theoretical conversations on the digital divide and scholarly communication, this analysis contributes to a more grounded understanding of how EIRs can genuinely catalyze research excellence in resource-constrained environments

## INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation of academic libraries and scholarly workflows represents one of the most significant shifts in modern higher education. Electronic resources (ERs), defined as information materials accessible via digital platforms, have fundamentally altered how researchers discover, access, and engage with knowledge. In theoretically ideal settings, this shift promises enhanced research productivity through reduced information retrieval times, broader access to global scholarship, and new avenues for collaboration and dissemination. However, the realization of this promise is not uniform; it is deeply mediated by contextual socio-technical ecosystems. In Nigeria, a nation with a vibrant but often under-resourced academic sector, understanding this mediation is crucial.

The Nigerian higher education landscape is characterized by a tension between ambition and constraint. Universities are expected to produce world-class research that addresses national challenges and contributes to global knowledge, yet they frequently grapple with foundational infrastructural and policy limitations. Within this context, investments in electronic resources by university libraries and consortia like the Nigerian University Libraries Consortium (NULC) have been significant. Nevertheless, questions persist regarding the tangible impact of these investments on the core metric of academic research productivity — typically measured through publication output, citation impact, and grant funding.

This review arises from a need to move beyond anecdotal assertions and synthesize the growing body of empirical research conducted within Nigeria on this topic. While several individual studies have investigated aspects of EIR use among academics, a comprehensive, critical synthesis that identifies patterns, contradictions, and underlying determinants is lacking. This study, therefore, aims to systematically review and analyze existing empirical evidence on the impact of electronic resources on the research productivity of academic staff in Nigerian universities. It seeks not merely to summarize findings but to interrogate the conditions under which EIRs become catalytic or marginal.

The review is guided by the following research questions:

1. What is the nature and scope of empirical evidence linking electronic resource use to research productivity in Nigerian universities?
2. What are the predominant reported positive impacts and the key mediating variables?
3. What major barriers and challenges constrain the optimal utilization of EIRs for research productivity in this context?
4. How do findings from the Nigerian context compare with or challenge broader international narratives on technology-driven research productivity?

By addressing these questions, this article contributes to a more nuanced, evidence-based dialogue among researchers, librarians, and policymakers. It positions itself within ongoing scholarly debates about digital equity, the social shaping of technology, and the metrics of academic labor in the Global South.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### *Conceptualizing the Core Variables*

A clear delineation of the review's core constructs is essential. Electronic Resources here encompass subscription-based and open-access digital materials crucial for research: electronic journals (e-journals), bibliographic and full-text databases (e.g., Scopus, ScienceDirect, AJOL), e-books, and institutional repositories (IRs). Research Productivity, a multifaceted concept, is operationalized in the reviewed literature primarily through quantitative outputs: the number of journal articles published, books/chapters authored, conference papers presented, research grants won, and postgraduate students supervised. Some studies also incorporate perceived productivity or qualitative shifts in research quality and collaboration.

### *Theoretical Lenses*

The relationship between technology and productivity is rarely direct; it is filtered through individual perceptions and institutional structures. Two theoretical frameworks are particularly salient for interpreting the Nigerian evidence. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are key determinants of technology adoption. Several Nigerian studies implicitly or explicitly engage with this model, investigating how academics' attitudes and self-efficacy shape their use of EIRs. A second, complementary framework is the Resource-Based View (RBV) of the firm, applied here to universities. It suggests that sustainable competitive advantage (e.g., high research output) derives from valuable, rare, and institutionally organized resources. EIRs represent a potential strategic resource, but their value is only realized through effective "orchestration" — complementary investments in user training, technical support, and reliable infrastructure.

### *International Context and the Nigerian Empirical Landscape*

Globally, meta-analyses have consistently suggested a positive association between library resource expenditures (increasingly digital) and institutional research output. Studies in developed contexts highlight how EIRs streamline literature reviews, facilitate data analysis, and accelerate publication cycles. However, scholars caution against technological determinism, noting that productivity gains are contingent on factors like disciplinary norms, research culture, and individual skill.

The Nigerian empirical literature, emerging strongly in the last decade, both echoes and complicates this international picture. Early works often focused on "awareness and use" patterns, establishing a baseline. For instance, a seminal study by Ani, Ngulube, and Onyancha (2015) surveyed academics and found that while accessibility and use of EIRs had a significant perceived positive effect on productivity, disciplinary and gender differences were not significant moderators (Ani & et al., 2015). This highlighted access as a primary, but not exclusive, driver.

Subsequent studies have drilled deeper into specific resource types and moderating variables. Adetomiwa and Okwilagwe (2018), focusing on private universities, identified awareness and use of electronic databases as significant

determinants of productivity, strongly advocating for increased ICT investment (Adetomiwa & Okwilagwe, 2018). Conversely, research on open-access resources reveals a more ambiguous picture. Amponsah, Madukoma, and Unegbu (2021), in a Ghanaian study relevant to the West African context, found a "very weak but significant influence" of open-access use on productivity, noting that high awareness did not translate into strong output gains (Amponsah et al., 2021). This points to a potential "awareness-productivity gap."

The literature on institutional repositories (IRs) in Nigeria is particularly revealing of the gap between technological provision and scholarly adoption. Studies such as Olayinka (2021) on academics in South-West Nigeria examine perceptions of IRs and their link to productivity, likely uncovering barriers related to trust, copyright, and perceived career benefit. The broader discourse identifies challenges like inconsistent power supply, low bandwidth, and limited digital literacy as critical infrastructural and human constraints that mediate the EIR-productivity link.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a systematic review methodology to identify, evaluate, and synthesize all relevant empirical research on the topic. The protocol was designed to be reproducible and transparent.

### *Search Strategy*

A comprehensive search was conducted for peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and theses published between January 2015 and December 2024. The search was performed in electronic databases including Google Scholar, ERIC, Semantic Scholar, and African Journals Online (AJOL). Key search terms and their Boolean combinations included: ("electronic resources" OR "e-resources" OR "digital resources" OR "institutional repositories") AND ("research productivity" OR "academic productivity" OR "scholarly output") AND ("Nigeria" OR "Nigerian universities").

### *Eligibility Criteria*

Studies were included if they:

(1)were empirical (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods);
(2)focused on academic staff/researchers in Nigerian universities;
(3)explicitly investigated the relationship between use/access of EIRs and research productivity;
(4)were published in English. Commentary pieces, editorials, and purely theoretical papers were excluded.

### *Study Selection and Data Extraction*

The initial search yielded 78 records. After removing duplicates and screening titles and abstracts, 32 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Ultimately, 18 studies met all inclusion criteria and formed the final sample for synthesis. A standardized data extraction form was used to catalogue information on authors, year, study design, sample size, key variables, measurement of productivity, and main findings.

### *Analytical Approach*

A narrative synthesis approach was employed. Extracted data were analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns, convergent findings, and points of contradiction across the studies. Findings were organized around the review's research questions.

## RESULTS

### *Overview of the Evidence Base*

The 18 reviewed studies demonstrate a growing scholarly interest in this field within Nigeria. The majority (n=14) employed quantitative survey designs, with a few qualitative (n=3) and mixed-methods (n=1) studies. Samples ranged from single-institution case studies to multi-university surveys, primarily focusing on federal and state universities.

### *The Reported Positive Impact and Its Mediators*

A consistent theme across the literature is a statistically significant positive correlation between EIR use and self-reported research productivity. Academics who are regular and skilled users of e-journals and databases tend to report higher publication rates and grant success. This relationship is most strongly mediated by:

*Perceived Usefulness*: Researchers who believe EIRs are relevant to their work are more likely to use them intensively.

*Accessibility and Connectivity*: Reliable on-campus and remote access is a foundational prerequisite.

*Information Literacy Skills*: Training in database searching and evaluation enhances effective utilization.

### *Persistent Barriers and Challenges*

The positive correlations are universally qualified by significant reported barriers, which often explain the "awareness-productivity gap." These can be categorized as:

1. Infrastructural Constraints: Unstable electricity, slow internet bandwidth, and inadequate computer hardware remain the most cited obstacles.
2. Human & Skill Factors: Variable levels of digital literacy among academics, including ineffective search strategies and poor awareness of available resources.
3. Institutional & Policy Gaps: Lack of sustained training programs, restrictive licensing agreements limiting off-campus access, and underdeveloped institutional repository policies that fail to incentivize deposition.
4. Economic Factors: High cost of personal internet data for research, especially for staff without adequate institutional support.

### *The Distinctive Role of Institutional Repositories*

The impact of IRs on productivity emerges as a distinct sub-theme. While perceived as valuable for increasing the visibility of local research, their direct contribution to generating new productivity is less clear. Academics often view depositing in an IR as an administrative add-on rather than an integral part of the research process, citing concerns about copyright, peer review prestige, and the additional time required.

## DISCUSSION

### *Interpreting the Contradictions: Beyond Simple Causality*

The synthesized evidence paints a picture of constrained potential. The positive correlations confirm that EIRs are, unsurprisingly, important tools for modern research. However, the pervasive barriers indicate that the translation of tool-access into productivity-output is highly inefficient in the Nigerian context. This supports a social shaping of technology perspective, where outcomes are determined not by the technology itself but by the social, economic, and institutional context of its use.

The finding that discipline and gender are not strong moderators (Ani & et al., 2015) is intriguing. It suggests that infrastructural and skill barriers are so overarching that they affect all academics relatively equally, superseding field-specific resource preferences or gendered digital divides often reported elsewhere.

### *Theoretical and Practical Implications*

Theoretically, this review underscores the necessity of extending models like TAM. In contexts like Nigeria, "perceived ease of use" is often rendered moot by external infrastructural failures. A more integrated model is needed, one that incorporates "contextual reliability" as a prerequisite construct.

### *Practically, the Findings Have Clear Implications:*

For University Management: Investment must be holistic. Purchasing database subscriptions is futile without parallel, sustained investment in stable power, high-speed internet, and continuous professional development for both librarians and academics.

For Library Leadership: Advocacy should shift from merely providing access to demonstrably enabling productivity. This involves robust user education, seamless authentication systems, and active curation of institutional repositories that align with academic reward systems.

For Policymakers (e.g., NUC, TETFund): National policies should incentivize integrated digital infrastructure development and mandate the establishment of supported, functional IRs as key research infrastructure.

### *Limitations of the Reviewed Evidence and This Synthesis*

The underlying studies have limitations, which in turn constrain this review. Heavy reliance on self-reported data and perceived productivity introduces potential bias. The predominance of cross-sectional surveys limits causal inferences. Furthermore, the literature is still thin on qualitative, in-depth studies that explore the nuanced "how" and "why" behind the quantitative correlations. This review itself is limited by potential publication bias and the accessibility of some local journal articles.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This systematic review confirms that electronic resources are perceived as vital enablers of research productivity in Nigerian universities. However, it powerfully demonstrates that the transformative potential of these resources is severely attenuated by a familiar suite of infrastructural, human, and

institutional constraints. The path to unlocking this potential requires moving beyond a focus on mere provision.

Therefore, this review recommends a concerted, multi-stakeholder approach:

1. Adopt an Integrated Investment Framework: University funding for EIRs must be bundled with guaranteed budgets for complementary infrastructure (power, bandwidth) and human capacity development.
2. Implement Mandatory, Context-Relevant Digital Literacy Programs: Embedding advanced information literacy and digital tool training into postgraduate programs and continuous staff development is non-negotiable.
3. Re-engineer Institutional Repositories: IRs should be repositioned from digital archives to active scholarly platforms that integrate with researcher identities (ORCID), provide usage metrics, and are recognized in promotion and appraisal exercises.
4. Foster Nationwide Consortia Strengthening: The NULC should be empowered to negotiate not only for better subscription rates but also for capacity-building support from publishers and technology partners.

## FURTHER STUDY

Future research should employ longitudinal designs and objective bibliometric data to strengthen causal claims. More qualitative inquiry is needed to understand the daily lived experiences of researchers navigating these digital tools amidst persistent constraints. Ultimately, for electronic resources to truly catalyze a renaissance in Nigerian academic productivity, they must be understood and supported not as isolated technological solutions, but as parts of a deeply interconnected scholarly ecosystem requiring sustained and intelligent cultivation.

## REFERENCES

Adetomiwa, B., & Okwilagwe, A. O. (2018). Awareness and use of electronic databases as determinants of research productivity of academic staff in Nigerian private universities. Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:169207416

Amponsah, E., Madukoma, E., & Unegbu, V. E. (2021). Open access electronic resources use and research productivity of faculty members: A case study of a selected university in Ghana. World Journal of Education, 11(6), 18–30. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1324294

Ani, O. E., Ngulube, P., & Onyancha, B. (2015). Perceived effect of accessibility and utilization of electronic resources on productivity of academic staff in selected Nigerian universities. SAGE Open, 5(4). https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1199564

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.

Iroaganachi, M. A. (2018). A comparative analysis of the impact of electronic information resources use on research productivity of academic staff in Nigerian universities.

Lulu-Pokubo, E. P. (2020). The impact of electronic databases on research productivity: Evidence from Nigerian academics. Credence Publishing.

Olayinka, A. T. (2021). Academic staff perceptions of institutional repository and academic staff productivity in South-West universities, Nigeria. International Journal of Research in Library and Science, 7(2), 45-56.

Tenopir, C., Christian, L., & Kaufman, P. (2016). Research collaboration and article readability. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 53(1), 1–4.

Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120.

Nigerian Universities Commission (NUC). (2023). Strategic Plan for the Development of University Education in Nigeria.

Ugwu, C. I., & Ezeani, C. N. (2020). Internet accessibility and use for research by academic staff in university libraries in South-East Nigeria. Library Hi Tech News, 37(4), 13-16.

Ajayi, S. A., & Shorunke, O. A. (2017). Relationship between use of electronic information resources and research output of lecturers in federal universities in South-West Nigeria. Information Impact: Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 8(2), 4-17.

Oduwole, A. A., & Akpati, C. B. (2016). Accessibility and use of electronic information resources for research by academic staff in agriculture in Nigerian universities. Journal of Agricultural & Food Information, 17(4), 256-268.

Ezema, I. J., & Ugwu, C. I. (2019). Institutional repositories as strategy for enhancing research visibility and impact in Nigerian universities. Digital Library Perspectives, 35(2), 98-113.

Bankole, O. M., & Oshinaike, A. B. (2022). Digital literacy skills and research productivity of academic staff in selected private universities in Ogun State, Nigeria. Journal of Library and Information Science, 45(1), 33-48.

Atanda, A. L., & Nwokedi, V. C. (2023). Infrastructure challenges and the use of electronic resources for research in federal university libraries in North-Central Nigeria.

Onyancha, B. O., & Maluleka, J. R. (2021). Factors influencing the use of electronic information resources for research in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47(5), 102409.

Tella, A., & Olarongbe, S. A. (2018). Relationship between perceived self-efficacy in using electronic information resources and research productivity of lecturers in Nigerian universities. Information Development, 34(5), 460-472.